

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/763,559	WILKIE, GEOFFREY D.M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ajay Vasudeva	3617	

All Participants:

(1) Ajay Vasudeva.

(2) Mr. Paul Maliszewski.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10, 19 and 22-24

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

NEXT PAGE →

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner contacted Mr. Maliszewski to discuss changes that would place the application in condition for allowance. An agreement was reached to place claims 23 and 24 in dependent form to depend from claim 2; and to replace claim 10 as new claim 31 so as to address issues relating to indefinite language.

Please see the attached Examiner's Amendment for details of the agreed-to changes..

Ajay Vasudeva
AJAY VASUDEVA 2/20/2006
PATENT EXAMINER
AU 3617